

### Vaughnie Lindsay New Investigator Award Scoring Rubric

Provide a rationale in the Comments section for each review element. Note that verbatim comments may be returned to nominees. Numerical scores resulting from using this scoring rubric will help in final decisions but do not have to be the only deciding factor.

Component	Exemplary (5-6)	Adequate (3-4)	Needs Improvement (1-2)	Insufficient (0)
History at SIUE of research and creative activity products*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>R&amp;CA product(s) each year</li> <li>Various types of products over time</li> <li>National and/or international venues</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistent R&amp;CA products over time</li> <li>Various types of products over time</li> <li>National and/or international venues</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inconsistent R&amp;CA products over time</li> <li>Only one type of product (e.g., presentations)</li> <li>State and/or regional venues</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inconsistent R&amp;CA products over time</li> <li>Only one type of product (e.g., presentations)</li> </ul>
*Research and creative activity products including but not limited to presentations, publications, patents, performances, and juried exhibits				
Comments (Verbatim comments may be returned to nominees.):				

Component	Exemplary (5-6)	Adequate (3-4)	Needs Improvement (1-2)	Insufficient (0)
Articulation of Significance and Impact of their research and creative activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reviewer convinced of the significance</li> <li>Clear and convincing evidence of the significance</li> <li>Receipt of external recognition (including but not limited to national or international award(s) and/or speaking engagements, serving as PI or co-PI on nationally or internationally funded project(s), patents)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reviewer has a clear understanding of the significance</li> <li>Clear evidence of the significance</li> <li>Receipt of external recognition (including but not limited to state or regional award(s), senior personnel on externally funded project(s))</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reviewer unclear on the significance</li> <li>Evidence of the significance present</li> <li>Receipt of external recognition, such as receipt of competitive internal funding</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reviewer unconvinced of the significance</li> <li>Inadequate evidence of the significance present</li> <li>No external recognition</li> </ul>
Comments (Verbatim comments may be returned to nominees.):				

Component	Exemplary (5-6)	Adequate (3-4)	Needs Improvement (1-2)	Insufficient (0)
Clear illustration of promise of making significant contributions to their fields of study	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Research &amp; creative activities* each year at SIUE at the national and/or international levels</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistent research &amp; creative activities* at SIUE at the national and/or international levels</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inconsistent research &amp; creative activities* at SIUE at the national and/or international levels</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No research &amp; creative activities* at SIUE at the national and/or international levels</li> </ul>
*Research & creative activities including but not limited to submissions of book proposals, funding proposals, publications, presentations; working on teams with non-SIUE researchers				
Comments (Verbatim comments may be returned to nominees.):				